

DOCTORAL VIVA VOCE REPORT

Surname		Student ID	
Given Names			
Schools			
Name of Degree	Doctor of Philosophy		
Thesis Title			
Venue			
Date of viva Examination			
Chair of Examining Panel			
Viva Examiner 1			
Viva Examiner 2			

Please rate the candidate's performance at the viva				
1. The candidate demonstrated detailed knowledge of the thesis and that it is his/her own work				
<input type="checkbox"/> Well below expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Below expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Above expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Exceeds expectations
2. The candidate was confident in defending the direction, methodology and conclusions of the work				
<input type="checkbox"/> Well below expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Below expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Above expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Exceeds expectations
3. The candidate demonstrated awareness of where his/her original works sits in relation to the broader context of the discipline				
<input type="checkbox"/> Well below expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Below expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Above expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Exceeds expectations
4. The candidate demonstrated a substantive and independent contribution to the discipline				
<input type="checkbox"/> Well below expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Below expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Above expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Exceeds expectations

Summary of the candidate's performance at the viva

(Summarise the candidate's performance in the viva and in particular his/her response to the issues raised in the examiners' reports). Please add additional sheets as necessary.

Please provide details of revisions required. Please add additional sheets as necessary.

RECOMMENDATION FOR CLASSIFICATION

-Please tick one box only-

PASS

A <input type="checkbox"/>	The thesis be PASSED with no requirement for correction or amendments and the student be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.
B <input type="checkbox"/>	The thesis be PASSED, SUBJECT TO REVISION as indicated and carried out to the satisfaction of the supervisor(s) and Graduate Research Coordinator/Head of School.

OR

Refer back to the Board of the Graduate Research School with one of the recommendations below

C <input type="checkbox"/>	The thesis be PASSED, SUBJECT TO SUBSTANTIVE* AMENDMENTS . *The student will normally be instructed to submit a detailed report to the Board of the Graduate Research School outlining the amendments to the thesis. The Board will consider the report and the revisions, without further reference to the examiners.
D <input type="checkbox"/>	RE-SUBMIT - the thesis be returned to the examiners for re-examination after completing the required extra work and revision indicated in the reports. A thesis which must be re-submitted requires alterations of such scale, complexity and/or conceptual significance that their adequacy should be appraised again. (* see note below)
E <input type="checkbox"/>	AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER - The thesis NOT be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy but be PASSED for the appropriate degree of MASTER . (The (Masters) degree must be a substantial work generally based on independent research which shows a sound knowledge of the subject of the research, evidence of the exercise of some independence of thought and ability of expression in clear and concise language).
F <input type="checkbox"/>	FAIL - The thesis be FAILED and the student NOT be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy OR the degree of master and NOT be permitted to resubmit the thesis in a revised form.

* NOTE: Where the thesis has already been resubmitted prior to the viva, only options A, E and F may be recommended.

(please print title and name)	Name	Signature	Date
Viva Examiner 1			
Viva Examiner 2			
Independent Chair			
Dean of Graduate Research School			