



# Graduate Research School

Examiners' Recommendation Form  
Degree of Master of Philosophy

"The (Master's) degree must be a substantial work generally based on independent research which shows a sound knowledge of the subject of the research, evidence of the exercise of some independence of thought and the ability of expression in clear and concise language"

|            |  |      |  |
|------------|--|------|--|
| CANDIDATE: |  | REF: |  |
| EXAMINER:  |  |      |  |

## RECOMMENDATION FOR CLASSIFICATION

- Please mark one box only and refer Page 2 for the required Merit Criteria Scores -

### PASS

The thesis be **PASSED** with no requirement for correction or amendments and the student be awarded the degree of «Candidature»

The thesis be **PASSED, SUBJECT TO MINOR REVISION** as indicated in my report to the satisfaction of the Board.

The thesis be **PASSED, SUBJECT TO SUBSTANTIVE\* AMENDMENTS** along the lines indicated in my report.

\*The student will normally be instructed to submit a detailed report to the Board of the Graduate Research School outlining the amendments to the thesis. The Board will consider the report and the revisions in determining the final classification, without further reference to the examiners.

(if applicable)

I believe this to be in the top 5% of thesis I have examined.

OR

### RE-SUBMIT

The thesis be returned to me for re-examination after completing the required extra work and revision indicated in my report. A thesis which must be re-submitted requires alterations of such scale, complexity and/or conceptual significance that their adequacy should be appraised again.

OR

### (MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY ONLY) - CONSIDER SUBMISSION FOR EXAMINATION FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Because this thesis is of an exceptionally high standard, I recommend that it be re-examined for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD). (The PhD thesis shall be a substantial and original contribution to scholarship, for example through the discovery of new knowledge, the formulation of theories or the innovative re-interpretation of known data and established ideas).

OR

### FAIL

The thesis be **FAILED** and the student **NOT** be awarded the degree of Master and **NOT** be permitted to resubmit the thesis in a revised form.

-Please also complete and return the following page with the merit criteria scores-



# Graduate Research School

Examiners' Recommendation Form

Degree of Master of Philosophy

|           |  |      |  |
|-----------|--|------|--|
| STUDENT:  |  | REF: |  |
| EXAMINER: |  |      |  |

Please provide a Merit Criterion Score for each of the six criteria below, according to the key at the bottom of the page. The thesis which you are examining submitted in part, or as whole fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Master is a substantial work generally based on independent research which:

| SCORE | CRITERIA FOR THE AWARD OF MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY - THESIS   |
|-------|---|
|       | The thesis as a whole is a substantial and original contribution to knowledge of the subject with which it deals.   |
|       | The student shows familiarity with, and understanding of, the relevant literature.  |
|       | The thesis provides a sufficiently comprehensive study of the topic.  |
|       | The techniques adopted are appropriate to the subject matter and are properly applied.  |
|       | The results are suitably set out, and accompanied by adequate exposition.   |
|       | The quality of English and general presentation are of a standard for publication.  |
| SCORE | CRITERIA FOR ANY ADDITIONAL EXAMINABLE COMPONENT/S FOR AWARD OF MASTER – (IF APPLICABLE)  |
|       | Any additional examinable components demonstrate technical accomplishment and imaginative resource and/or advanced technical and interpretative accomplishment (as appropriate) |

**Please attach your report (minimum length – 1 page).**

I confirm that there is no actual or perceived conflict of interest arising from my examination of this thesis.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### Merit Criteria Score Key

| SCORE | MERIT CRITERIA     | DESCRIPTION  |
|-------|--------------------|--|
| 1     | <b>Exceptional</b> | Of the highest merit, at the forefront of international Masters in the field. Fewer than 5% of students worldwide would fall in this band. |
| 2     | <b>Excellent</b>   | Strongly competitive at international levels. Fewer than 20% of students would fall in this band.  |
| 3     | <b>Very good</b>   | An interesting, sound and compelling thesis. Approximately 30% of students would fall in this band.  |
| 4     | <b>Good</b>        | A sound thesis, but lacks a compelling element in some respect. Approximately 30% of students would fall in this band.                     |
| 5     | <b>Fair</b>        | The thesis has potential, but requires major revisions. Approximately 20% of students would score in this band.                            |
| 6     | <b>Flawed</b>      | The thesis does not meet the required standard for this criterion  |